- x Maybe main issue may be how service is weightelative to teaching and research faculty come here in part b/c they want a balanced load especially b/t teaching and research
- x Also relevant is what countas service and do we need to look at that as well.
- x Issue of gender inequity in terms of who DOES the service
- x Some service is more visible than others too and it often is what gets rewarded
- x In last decade faculty more engaged in supporting students, issues are more challenging (e.g., mental health)- need to be recognized as service (or maybe instruction?)

x RSCA

- x We need to start recogizing community based practice (to impact community) hich is blurred in some ways with service
 - f CHHS (Sandhya¢ommunity based health care research
- x Or open source publication—are they valued? How much?
- x Policy needs to recognize challenges of publishwith so many other demands on time
- x Univ policy should address differential labor across gender, racial and ethnic lines especially relate to service

- f Maybe the problem is the variation in implementation at the dept and college level?? Not sure.f
- x Should the university be looking at its broader mission/value statement (section 1.1) given the conversations of last few years related to campaign

Breakout Room #Equity and Public Good

Question: How can the University RTP document better reflect our shared principles of equity and social

- x UC Policy included has langabout serving diverse students is all additise ould also consider X-should this not apply to all faculty?
- x If community basedesearch etc in the mission of the university how is this less than other activities should be included equally
- x Need protections for women of color faculty who are doing community based work and for faculty who set boundaries around their time commitmetarned if you do and darned if you don't...
- x Formal mentoring program??? Maybe a separate policy on **builti**ying?
- x Reviewer behavior.
- x Need to be more explicit about the value of community service?
- x Community based service is equal or what all must doern's of research I need to see how this translates into public good. How will this harm me?
- x In many spaces community service work/research is undervalued.

Breakout Room #University Policy Flexibility

Question: How can the University RTP Policy guide departments and colleges in the revision of their RTP documents that are specific yet flexible enough to value wide ranging RSCA and service activities?

Responses

- x Different disciplines (art for example) have different disciplines.
- x Guidelines are needed to be more specific on College and Department levels, but provide guidance: both for the candidates and for evaluators.
- x The evaluators need to make sure to consider alleas in thepolicies.
- x Service is very demanding, and people are spread thingy are on may committees, and that has not been considered/valued properly needs to be considered valuable.
- x In terms of WT most of our time is for teachinghow to relate this to the 33% for each research, teaching, and servicthat may have been the model years ago
- x We are a teaching cused institution, but most of our evaluations are based **SCR**
- x Current workload = 12nits for teaching, 3 for servicend research on top
- x In pandemiconline we are valuing teaching and being evaluated for how we teach online
- x From chat: Amore diversified RTP committee at the department and college lesseds as aving an external member in RTP committee esp. for small departments minimize biased feedback and conflict of interests.
- x Overarching goal the university to reach out the community in new ways is not often valued
- x How we evaluate teaching and **mte**ring
- x We cannot decouple mentoring and teaching from research in enringing and natural science
- x Inequity in service people put time in RCSA and avoid service
- x Research fades after tenurepeople will be overwhelmed with teaching and service
- x From chat: How many researchers are teaching 4/4 teaching loads plus doing research and now havir heavy service loads agree with Aparna, Andreas, and all. This definitely calls for more flexibility. Faculty do change over the years, and our RTm of these not value those who are really the volunteers doing all of the service while others you rarely see beyond all of the service while others you rarely see beyond all of the service while others you rarely see beyond all of the service while others you rarely see beyond all of the service while others you rarely see beyond.
- x People dichotomize: focus on 2 out of teaching, research and service

- x Research's done with undergrads, and that takes mulohger to produce publishable work (due to the amount of training)
- x Departments that have specific policies seem to have more faculty who is promoted
- x If individuals devote great deals of time to service that should be rewarded and valued
- x Although we are a teachinfogcused institution (4/4 load in the CLA) it seems like the element that is valued the most in RTP (at least going up for Tenure) is research. Usually, faculty who are not granted Tenure failed to fulfill research expectationnest teaching or service ones.
- x How to involve junior faculty in this conversation the department may not be "safe"